arXiv:1610.04450v1 [math.NA] 14 Oct 2016

Explicit Bound for Quadratic Lagrange Interpolation Constant on Triangular Finite Elements

Xuefeng LIU[*], Chunguang YOU [†‡]

October 17, 2016

Abstract

For the quadratic Lagrange interpolation function, an algorithm is proposed to provide explicit and verified bound for the interpolation error constant that appears in the interpolation error estimation. The upper bound for the interpolation constant is obtained by solving an eigenvalue problem along with explicit lower bound for its eigenvalues. The lower bound for interpolation constant can be easily obtained by applying the Rayleigh-Ritz method. Numerical computation is performed to demonstrate the sharpness of lower and upper bounds of the interpolation constants over triangles of different shapes.

Keywords Lagrange interpolation error constant, Eigenvalue problem, Finite element method, Verified computation

2010 MSC 35P15, 65N30, 65N25, 65N15

1 Introduction

In this paper we aim to provide explicit error estimation for quadratic interpolation operator Π_2 defined over triangular elements. Given a triangle T, denote the three vertices by p_1, p_2, p_3, and the mid-points by p_{12}, p_{23}, p_{31}; see Figure 1.

[*]Graduate School of Science and Technology, Niigata University, 8050 Ikarashi 2-no-cho, Nishi-ku, Niigata City, Niigata 950-2181 Japan. E-mail: xfliu@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp (Corresponding author)

[†]LSEC, ICMSEC, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China. E-mail: youchg@lsec.cc.ac.cn

[‡]This work is supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Grand-in-Aid for Young Scientist (B) 26800090 and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 16H03950.



Figure 1: Configuration of a triangle element T

The Π_2 interpolation for $u \in H^2(T)$ is a polynomial of degree 2 satisfying

$$(\Pi_2 u)(p_i) - u(p_i) = 0, \quad i \in I_0 \,. \tag{1}$$

where I_0 is a set of indices given by

$$I_0 := \{1, 2, 3, \{12\}, \{23\}, \{31\}\} \,.$$

For such an interpolation function, the qualitative error estimation has been well studied in literatures; see, e.g., Jamet [1] and Koyabayashi-Tsuchiya [2]. Suppose the maximum angle condition is satisfied for triangle T, that is, there exists $\theta_0 < \pi$ and each angle of T is less than θ_0. Let h be the maximum edge length of T. It is shown that there exists a constant C, which is independent on the shape of T, such that,

$$|u - \Pi_2 u|_{m,T} \leq C h^{3-m} |u|_{3,T}, \ \forall\, u \in H^3(T) \,. \tag{2}$$

for $m = 0, 1, 2$. The definition of $|\cdot|_k$ $(k = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ is taken from the notation of Sobolev spaces; see §2. To give explicit value or upper bound for the interpolation constant is an important task in numerical analysis; refer to the work of Kikuchi-Liu [3, 4] and Kobayashi [5] for a survey.

When the quadratic Lagrange finite element is applied to solve partial differential equations, in many cases, we can only make sure the H^2-regularity of the solution u, i.e., $u \in H^2(\Omega)$. In this case, the following error estimation with a bounded constant C_T is needed.

$$|u - \Pi_2 u|_{1,T} \leq C_T |u|_{2,T}, \ \forall\, u \in H^2(T) \,. \tag{3}$$

The interpolation constant C_T only depends on the shape of triangle T itself. To the author's best knowledge, there is no result reported for the upper bound for the constant C_T. In this paper, we will propose a method

that gives explicit bound for C_T by solving eigenvalue problem of certain differential operator. As shown in §4, for several special T's, we have

$$\begin{cases} C_T \leq 0.2598 & \text{for unit isosceles right triangle;} \\ C_T \leq 0.1777 & \text{for unit regular triangle.} \end{cases}$$

The structure of the rest of the paper is as follows. In §2, the characterization of constant C_T through minimization problem and eigenvalue problems is given, where we can see that to give a lower bound of C_T is quite easy. In §3, the upper bound of C_T is discussed through the lower bound of certain eigenvalue, which is main contribution of our paper. In §4, the computed lower and upper bound for C_T are given for T with various shapes.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, the domain Ω of functions is selected as the triangle T. The standard notation is used for Sobolev function spaces $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$. The associated norms and semi-norms are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{k,p,\Omega}$, $|\cdot|_{k,p,\Omega}$, respectively (see, e.g., Chapter 1 of [6] and Chapter 1 of [7]). Particularly, for special k and p, we use abbreviated notation as $H^k(\Omega) = W^{k,2}(\Omega)$, $|\cdot|_{k,\Omega} = |\cdot|_{k,2,\Omega}$, $L^p(\Omega) = W^{0,p}(\Omega)$. The set of polynomials over Ω of up to degree k is denoted by $P_k(\Omega)$.

The following subspace V_0 of $H^2(T)$ will play an important role in bounding the interpolation constant C_T.

$$V_0 := \{v \in H^2(T) \mid v(p_i) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3\} . \tag{4}$$

The intersection of V_0 and $P_k(T)$ is denoted by

$$P_{k,0}(T) := V_0 \cap P_k(T) = \{v \in P_k(T) \mid v(p_i) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3\} . \tag{5}$$

Define bilinear forms M, N as follows,

$$M(u, v) := \int_T D^2u \cdot D^2v \mathrm{d}T, \quad N(u, v) := \int_T \nabla(u - \Pi_2 u) \cdot \nabla(v - \Pi_2 v) \mathrm{d}T. \tag{6}$$

Here, D^2 is the second order derivative of u, i.e.,

$$D^2u := (\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y}, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y \partial x}, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}) .$$

It is easy to see that M is an inner product of V_0 and N is a positive semi-definite bilinear form of V_0.

Define Rayleigh quotient R for $u \in H^2(T)$,

$$R(u) := \frac{|u|_{2,T}^2}{|u - \Pi_2 u|_{1,T}^2} = \frac{M(u,u)}{N(u,u)} \,. \tag{7}$$

Let Π_1 be the linear Lagrange interpolation for $u \in H^2(T)$, i.e., $\Pi_1 u \in P_1(T)$ and $(\Pi_1 u)(p_i) = u(p_i), i = 1,2,3$. Noticing that $R(u) = R(u - \Pi_1 u)$, the optimal constant C_T in eq. (3) can be defined through the quantity $\overline{\lambda}$,

$$C_T^{-2} := \overline{\lambda} = \inf_{u \in H^2(T), |u - \Pi_2 u|_{1,T} \neq 0} R(u) = \inf_{u \in V_0, |u - \Pi_2 u|_{1,T} \neq 0} R(u) \,. \tag{8}$$

The existence of minimizer of eq. (8) can be proved by the standard compactness argument. Thus the infimum above is actually a minimum, and is the smallest eigenvalue of certain eigenvalue problem.

Upper bound for $\overline{\lambda}$ By using the Rayleigh-Ritz method, it is easy to give an upper bound for $\overline{\lambda}$, i.e., the lower bound for the interpolation constant. The Rayleigh-Ritz bound $\overline{\lambda}_{upper}$ along with the usage of $P_{k,0}(T)$ is given by

$$\overline{\lambda}_{upper} := \min_{u \in P_{k,0}(T), |u - \Pi_2 u|_{1,T} \neq 0} R(u) \,.$$

Quantity $\overline{\lambda}_{upper}$ can be calculated by solving a matrix eigenvalue problem. Take a basis of $P_{k,0}(T)$ as $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $n = \dim(P_{k,0}(T))$. Define matrix A and B by

$$A = \Big(M(\phi_i, \phi_j)\Big)_{i,j=1,\cdots,n}, \quad B = \Big(N(\phi_i, \phi_j)\Big)_{i,j=1,\cdots,n}.$$

Notice that A is positive definite and B is positive semi-definite. The value of $\overline{\lambda}_{upper}^{-1}$ is given by the maximum eigenvalue of the problem

$$Bx = \mu A x \,.$$

The calculation of upper bound of eigenvalue, i.e., the lower bound of interpolation constants are shown in Section 4.

Preparation for lower bound of $\overline{\lambda}$ Below, we show the important property of the minimizer of (8).

Lemma 2.1. *The minimizer u_0 of (8) is orthogonal to $P_2(T)$ with respect to $M(\cdot,\cdot)$.*

Proof. Suppose u_0 minimizes $R(u)$ for all u satisfying $|u - \Pi_2 u|_{1,T} \neq 0$. For any $q \in P_2(T)$, define $g(t) := R(u_0 + tq)$, then $\frac{dg(t)}{dt}|_{t=0} = 0$. Noticing that $N(u_0, u_0) = N(u_0 + tq, u_0 + tq)$, we have $M(u_0, q) = 0$. □

Let us introduce the complement space of $P_{2,0}(T)$ in V_0, with respect to the inner product $M(\cdot, \cdot)$.

$$W := \{v \in V_0 \mid M(v, p) = 0, \quad \forall p \in P_{2,0}(T)\} \tag{9}$$

In space W, N becomes a positive definite bilinear form and thus can be regarded as an inner product of W. The quantity $\overline{\lambda}$ can be characterized by

$$\overline{\lambda} = \inf_{u \in W, u \neq 0} R(u) \, . \tag{10}$$

Eigenvalue problem for $\overline{\lambda}$ The quantity $\overline{\lambda}$ is in fact the minimal eigenvalue of the following eigenvalue problem: Find $(\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times W$ such that

$$M(u, v) = \lambda N(u, v) \quad \forall v \in W \, . \tag{11}$$

Such an eigenvalue problem has the eigenvalues distributed as $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \cdots$. The quantity $\overline{\lambda}$ is just given by λ_1.

3 Lower bound for the eigenvalue $\overline{\lambda}$

The eigenvalue problem in (11) can be solved approximately by using finite element method (FEM). Generally, it is difficult to give explicit error estimation for the computed approximation of eigenvalues. Recently, Liu [8] proposed a framework to calculate explicit eigenvalue bounds for compact self-adjoint differential operators. Such a framework can be implemented with the usage of finite element method.

In this section, we first introduce the finite element method to be used for approximate eigenvalue computation. Then we apply the framework of [8] to bound the eigenvalue corresponding to the interpolation constant.

3.1 Finite element approximation for eigenvalues

Take T as the domain and $\mathcal{T}^h$ as a triangular subdivision of T. For each element K of $\mathcal{T}^h$, denote by h_K the longest edge length of K and the mesh size h is defined by

$$h := \max_{K \in \mathcal{T}^h} h_T \, . \tag{12}$$

Particularly, we require that the triangulation $\mathcal{T}^h$ for $\Omega(=T)$ has 3 mesh nodes that coincide with three mid-points p_{12}, p_{23} and p_{31} (see Figure 2), which can be easily done by performing bisections for the original triangle T. With such kind of mesh, the interpolation error estimation needed in bounding the constant will be much easier.



Figure 2: Special triangulation needed for lower eigenvalue bound computation

Define the Fujino-Morley FEM space V_{FM}^h over $\mathcal{T}^h$ [9, 10].

$$V_{FM}^h := \{v_h \mid v_h|_T \in P_2(K) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}^h; v_h \text{ is continuous at the nodes;} \\ \int_e \left.\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial \vec{n}}\right|_{K_1} - \left.\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial \vec{n}}\right|_{K_2} \mathrm{d}s = 0 \text{ for } e = K_1 \cap K_2; v_h(p_i) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3\ .\} \tag{13}$$

Here, since $V_{FM}^h \not\subset H^1(T)$, we introduce the discrete gradient operator ∇_h, which is defined element-wisely. Given $u \in V_{FM}^h$, $\nabla_h u \in (L^2(T))^2$ and each part of $\nabla_h u$ is piecewise linear polynomial, i.e., $(\nabla_h u)|_K = \nabla(u|_K)$. For $u \in H^1(T)$, we have $\nabla u = \nabla_h u$.

Extend the bilinear forms M and N to V_{FM}^h as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} M_h(u, v) &:= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^h} \int_K D^2 \cdot u D^2 v \mathrm{d}K, \\ N_h(u, v) &:= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^h} \int_K \nabla(u - \Pi_2 u) \cdot \nabla(v - \Pi_2 v) \mathrm{d}K\ . \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The extension of R for V_{FM}^h, which is also denoted by R, is defined by

$$R(u) := \frac{M_h(u, u)}{N_h(u, u)} \quad \text{for any } u \in V_{FM}^h, N_h(u, u) \neq 0\ .$$

Define the minimization problem of R over V_{FM}^h, for which the minimizer is denoted by $u_{h,0}$.

$$\overline{\lambda}_h := \min_{v \in V_{FM}^h, |v - \Pi_2 v|_{1,T} \neq 0} R(v) = R(u_{h,0}) \tag{15}$$

Lemma 3.1. *The minimizer $u_{h,0}$ is orthogonal to $P_2(T)$ with respect to $M_h(\cdot,\cdot)$.*

Proof. The proof is omitted since it is analogous to the one for Lemma 2.1. □

As an approximation to space W, let us introduce the complement space, denoted by W^h, of $P_{2,0}(T)$ in V_{FM}^h with respect to M_h.

$$W^h := \{ v \in V_{FM}^h \mid M_h(v,p) = 0, \quad \forall p \in P_{2,0}(T) \} . \tag{16}$$

The bilinear forms M_h and N_h are both positive definite in W^h. For function $u \in W + W^h$, introduce the norm $\|\cdot\|_M$ and $\|\cdot\|_N$ by

$$\|u\|_M := \sqrt{M_h(u,u)}, \quad \|u\|_N := \sqrt{N_h(u,u)} . \tag{17}$$

By using W^h, the quantity $\overline{\lambda}_h$ can be characterized by

$$\overline{\lambda}_h := \min_{u \in W^h, u \neq 0} R(u) . \tag{18}$$

Fujino-Morley interpolation operator Π_{FM}^h Let us introduce the Fujino-Morley interpolation Π_{FM}^h, which is defined element-wisely. For an element K, denote the edges by e_1, e_2, e_3 and the vertices by v_1, v_2, v_3. Given $u \in H^2(\Omega)$, $(\Pi_{FM}^h u)|_K$ is a piece-wise quadratic polynomial such that

$$\int_{e_i} \nabla(u - (\Pi_{FM}^h u)|_K) \cdot \vec{n} \mathrm{d}s = 0, \quad u(v_i) - (\Pi_{FM}^h(u))(v_i) = 0 \quad (i = 1,2,3) . \tag{19}$$

It is easy to verify that $\Pi_{FM}^h u \in V_{FM}^h$ for $u \in V_0$.

Orthogonality of Π_{FM}^h Given a triangle element $K \in \mathcal{T}^h$, for $u \in H^2(K)$, by integration by part, it is easy to see that

$$\int_K D^2(\Pi_{FM}^h u|_K - u|_K) \cdot D^2 v \, \mathrm{d}K = 0, \quad \forall v \in P_2(K) .$$

Thus, the following orthogonality holds.

$$M_h(u - \Pi_{FM}^h u, v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in V_{FM}^h \,. \tag{20}$$

From the above orthogonality of Π_{FM}^h, it is easy to see that $\Pi_{FM}^h u \in W^h$ for $u \in W$. Therefore, the interpolation operator Π_{FM}^h is just the projection operator that maps W to W^h with respect to $M_h(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Due to the special mesh as shown in Figure 2, $(u - \Pi_{FM}^h u)$ vanishes on 6 points $\{p_i\}_{i \in I_0}$. Therefore, $\Pi_2(u - \Pi_{FM}^h u) = 0$ and

$$(u - \Pi_{FM}^h u) - \Pi_2(u - \Pi_{FM}^h u) = (u - \Pi_{FM}^h u) \,.$$

Thus the $\|\cdot\|_N$ norm of $(u - \Pi_{FM}^h u)$ can be given as follows.

$$\|u - \Pi_{FM}^h u\|_N = \|\nabla_h (u - \Pi_{FM}^h u)\|_{0,\Omega} \,. \tag{21}$$

The following lemma provides a constant that will be used for the interpolation error estimation.

Lemma 3.2 ([8])**.** *Given a triangle K, denote the edges by $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^3$ and let h_K be the largest edge length. For $v \in H^1(K)$ such that $\int_{e_i} v ds = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, we have*

$$\|v\|_{0,K} \leq 0.1893 \, h_K \, |v|_{1,K} \,.$$

By using the constant in Lemma 3.2, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let h be the mesh size of $\mathcal{T}_h$ (see eq. (12)). Then the following estimation for Π_{FM}^h holds.*

$$\|u - \Pi_{FM}^h u\|_N \leq 0.1893 h \|u - \Pi_{FM}^h u\|_M, \ \forall \, u \in H^2(T) \,. \tag{22}$$

Proof. Given an element K of $\mathcal{T}^h$, the edges of which are denoted by $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^3$ and the longest edge length being h_K. Take $w := u - \Pi_{FM}^h u$. From the definition of Fujino-Morley interpolation, we have

$$\int_{e_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \vec{n}} \mathrm{d}s = \int_{e_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \vec{\tau}} \mathrm{d}s = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3) \,,$$

where $\vec{n}$ and $\vec{\tau}$ are the unit outward normal and unit tangent direction, respectively. Hence,

$$\int_{e_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \mathrm{d}s = \int_{e_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \mathrm{d}s = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

From the estimation in Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\|\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\|_{0,K} \leq 0.1893 h_K \|\nabla(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x})\|_{0,K}, \quad \|\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\|_{0,K} \leq 0.1893 h_K \|\nabla(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y})\|_{0,K}.$$

By the summation of the square of above integral over all K of $\mathcal{T}^h$ and applying the equation (21), we can easily draw the conclusion. □

Eigenvalue problem in finite element space Consider the eigenvalue problem defined over W^h: Find $(\lambda_h, u_h) \in \mathbb{R} \times W^h$ such that

$$M_h(u_h, v_h) = \lambda_h N_h(u_h, v_h), \ \forall v_h \in W^h. \tag{23}$$

The above eigenvalue problem has eigenvalues as follows,

$$0 < \lambda_{h,1} \leq \lambda_{h,2} \cdots \leq \lambda_{h,N_0} \quad (N_0 := \dim(W^h)) .$$

Then the quantity $\overline{\lambda}_h$ (see eq. (15) and eq. (18)) is given by the smallest eigenvalue of the eigenvalue problem in (23), i.e., $\overline{\lambda}_h = \lambda_{h,1}$.

Remark 3.4. *In practical computing, the space W^h is not needed to be constructed explicitly. Instead, we solve the eigenvalue problem defined by*

$$\textit{Find } (\mu_h, u_h) \in \mathbb{R} \times V_{FM}^h \textit{ s.t. } N_h(u_h, v_h) = \mu_h M_h(u_h, v_h), \ \forall v_h \in V_{FM}^h.$$

The above eigenvalue problem has the eigenvalues distributed as follows.

$$\mu_{h,1} \geq \mu_{h,2} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{h,N_0} > \mu_{h,N_0+1} = \cdots = \mu_{h,N_1} = 0 .$$

where $N_1 = dim(V_{FM}^h)$ and $N_0 = dim(W^h)$. Moreover, for the positive eigenvalue $\mu_{h,i}$, we have

$$\lambda_{h,i} = \mu_{h,i}^{-1} \quad (i = 1, \cdots, N_0) .$$

3.2 An abstract framework of lower eigenvalue bounds

Let us shape out the framework with the following assumptions.

(A1) V is a real infinite dimensional Hilbert space on Ω with the inner product $M(\cdot,\cdot)$ and the corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|_M := \sqrt{M(\cdot,\cdot)}$.

(A2) $N(\cdot,\cdot)$ is also an inner product of V. The corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|_N := \sqrt{N(\cdot,\cdot)}$ is compact with respect to $\|\cdot\|_M$, i.e., every sequence in V which is bounded in $\|\cdot\|_M$ has a subsequence which is Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_N$.

(A3) V^h is a finite dimensional space of real functions over Ω. Define $V(h) := V + V^h = \{v + v_h \,|\, v \in V, v_h \in V^h\}$.

(A4) Bilinear forms $M_h(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $N_h(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $V(h)$ are extension of $M(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $N(\cdot,\cdot)$ to $V(h)$ such that

- $M_h(u,v) = M(u,v)$, $N_h(u,v) = N(u,v)$ for all $u, v \in V$.
- $M_h(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $N_h(\cdot,\cdot)$ are symmetric and positive definite on $V(h)$.

It is easy to confirm that $V(h)$ is also a Hilbert space, along with inner product $M_h(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $N_h(\cdot,\cdot)$. The norms corresponding to M_h and N_h are still denoted by $\|\cdot\|_M$ and $\|\cdot\|_N$, respectively.

In [8], the following two eigenvalue problems are considered.
(EVP) Find $(\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times V$ such that

$$M(u,v) = \lambda N(u,v), \quad \forall v \in V. \tag{24}$$

(EVP-h) Find $(\lambda_h, u_h) \in \mathbb{R} \times V^h$ such that

$$M_h(u_h, v_h) = \lambda_h N_h(u_h, v_h), \quad \forall\, v_h \in V^h. \tag{25}$$

Suppose $\dim(V^h) = n$. The eigenvalues of (EVP) and (EVP-h), in an increasing order, are denoted by $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\lambda_{h,k}\}_{k=1}^{n}$, respectively.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $P_h : V(h) \mapsto V^h$ be the projection with respect to inner product M_h, i.e., for any $u \in V(h)$*

$$M_h(u - P_h u, v_h) = 0, \quad \forall v_h \in V^h. \tag{26}$$

Suppose there exists a quantity C_h such that

$$\|u - P_h u\|_N \le C_h \|u - P_h u\|_M, \quad \forall u \in V\,. \tag{27}$$

Let λ_k and $\lambda_{h,k}$ be the ones defined in eq. (24) and eq. (25), then we have

$$\lambda_k \ge \frac{\lambda_{h,k}}{1 + \lambda_{h,k} C_h^2} \quad (k = 1, 2, \cdots, n). \tag{28}$$

Remark 3.6. *The above theorem does not require $V^h \subset V$. Thus, we can use non-conforming finite element methods to obtain lower eigenvalue bounds.*

3.3 Calculation of lower bound of $\overline{\lambda}$

To apply the framework introduced in Section 3.2, we take the following settings.

(S1) $V := W, \quad V^h := W^h$;

(S2) M, N: the ones defined in eq. (6);

(S3) M_h, N_h: the ones defined in eq. (14).

(S4) $P_h := \Pi^h_{FM}$: From eq. (20) and eq. (22), we have

$$\|u - P_h u\|_N \leq 0.1893h\|u - P_h u\|_M \quad \forall u \in V.$$

By applying the result in Theorem 3.5, we can give explicit lower bound for eigenvalues in (11).

Theorem 3.7. *For the eigenvalues defined in (11) and (23), we have*

$$\lambda_k \geq \frac{\lambda_{h,k}}{1 + \lambda_{h,k}(0.1893h)^2} \quad (k = 1, \cdots, N_0)\,. \tag{29}$$

Remark 3.8. *In this paper, we are only concerning the lower bound of the first eigenvalue of (11). In fact, with lower bound for the second eigenvalue provided in the above theorem, we can further apply Lehmann-Goerisch's method to have a sharp bound for the first eigenvalue. Refer to [11] for the case of error constant estimation for the constant interpolation functions. The high-precision bound for the interpolation constant C_T will be considered in future work.*

4 Numerical computations

In this section, we apply the Fujino-Morley finite element along with the bound in Theorem 3.7 to calculate the upper bound for the interpolation constant C_T. To demonstrate the precision of upper bound, the lower bounds are also calculated by using Rayleigh-Ritz method along with the usage of polynomial space $P_{k,0}(T)$. The two-side bounds for constant C_T are given for several concrete triangles, for example, the unit isosceles right triangle, the regular triangle.

In order to estimate the rounding error in floating-point number computing, the interval arithmetic is applied in the numerical computation. As

a consequence, the results can be expected to be mathematically correct. The method of Behnke [12] (along with the INTLAB toolbox, developed by Rump [13]) is used to give the verified eigenvalue bounds for the generalized matrix eigenvalue problems.

Suppose the two vertices of triangle domain are fixed to be $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 0)$, and the third vertex is given by (a, b) with variable values. In Table 1, for different choices of (a, b), we display the verified lower and upper bounds for λ_1 and C_T , which are denoted by λ_{low}, λ_{upper}, C_{low} and C_{upper}, respectively. The mesh size for triangulation is taken as $h = 1/64$. The upper bound for λ_1 is obtained by using the Rayleigh-Ritz bound with polynomial function space $P_{6,0}(T)$. In Figure 3 and Figure 4, we display the 3D graph and color-map for the eigenfunction corresponding to λ_1.



Figure 3: The first eigenfunction on the unit isosceles right triangle.

Table 1: Verified bound for interpolation constants over different triangles

(a, b)	λ_{low}	λ_{upper}	C_{low}	C_{upper}
$(0, 1)$	14.8181	15.1101	0.2571	0.2598
$(0, \sqrt{3}/3)$	21.4906	22.1234	0.2125	0.2158
$(1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$	31.6764	32.2821	0.1759	0.1777
$(-1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$	5.15806	5.26263	0.4358	0.4404

To investigate the dependency of C_T on the shape of triangle T, in Figure 5, we draw the contour line for C_T respect to the parameter (a, b). For this purpose, we choose grid value of (a, b) in $[-1, 1] \times [0.01, 1]$ and evaluate



Figure 4: The first eigenfunction on the regular triangle.

C_T by using finite element method. As we can see from the numerical results, for triangle with maximum angle near to π, the value of C_T will be large. Thus, in finite element computing, such kind of elements are not recommended.

An interesting thing about the numerical results is that the approximate eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,h}$ itself works as the lower bound for λ_1, which is due to the usages of the nonconforming FEM. As shown by asymptotic analysis, if the mesh size is small enough, the approximate eigenvalues from many nonconforming FEMs give lower bounds for the exact eigenvalues; see, e.g., [14, 15]. However, it is usually difficult to verify when the mesh is "small enough".

5 Summary

In this paper, we provide explicit bound for the interpolation error constant appearing in the P_2 Lagrange interpolation function. The method proposed here can be applied to bounding error constants of general interpolation functions, which will be performed in our future work. As an objective, in the near future, we plan to create a table with bounds for various interpolation error constants.



Figure 5: Contour line of C_T with respect to (a, b) in rectangular $[-1, 1] \times [0.01, 1]$

References

References

[1] P. Jamet, Estimations d'erreur pour des éléments finis droits presque dégénérés, Rev. française d'automatique, informatique, Rech. opérationnelle. Anal. numérique 10 (1) (1976) 43–60.

[2] K. Kobayashi, T. Tsuchiya, A priori error estimates for Lagrange interpolation on triangles, Appl. Math. 60 (5) (2015) 485–499.

[3] X. Liu, F. Kikuchi, Analysis and Estimation of Error Constants for P_0 and P_1 Interpolations over Triangular Finite Elements, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 17 (2010) 27–78.

[4] F. Kikuchi, X. Liu, Estimation of interpolation error constants for the P_0 and P_1 triangular finite element, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng. 196 (2007) 3750–3758.

[5] K. Kobayashi, On the interpolation constants over triangular elements (in Japanese), Kyoto Univ. Res. Inf. Repos. 1733 (2011) 58–77.

[6] S. C. Brenner, L. R. Scott, The Mathematical Theory of Finite Element Methods, 3rd edn, Springer, 2008.

[7] P. G. Ciarlet, The finite element method for elliptic problems, Vol. 40 of Classics Appl. Math., SIAM, 2002.

[8] X. F. Liu, A framework of verified eigenvalue bounds for self-adjoint differential operators, Appl. Math. Comput. 267 (2015) 341–355.

[9] L. S. D. Morley, The triangular equilibrium element in the solution of plate bending problems, Aero. Quart 19 (1968) 149–169.

[10] T. Fujino, Recent advances in matrix methods of structural analysis and design, in: J. T. Gallagher, Richard H and Yamada, Yoshiaki and Oden (Ed.), Recent Adv. matrix methods Struct. Anal. Des., The University of Alabama Press, 1971, pp. 725–786.

[11] X. Liu, S. Oishi, Guaranteed high-precision estimation for P_0 interpolation constants on triangular finite elements, Jpn. J. Ind. Appl. Math. 30 (2013) 635–652. doi:10.1007/s13160-013-0120-6.

[12] H. Behnke, The calculation of guaranteed bounds for eigenvalues using complementary variational principles, Computing 47 (1) (1991) 11–27.

[13] S. Rump, Intlab-interval laboratory, in: T. Csendes (Ed.), Developments in Reliable Computing, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999, pp. 77–104.

[14] Y. Yang, Z. Zhang, F. Lin, Eigenvalue approximation from below using non-conforming finite elements, Sci. China Math. 53 (1) (2010) 137–150.

[15] F. Luo, Q. Lin, H. Xie, Computing the lower and upper bounds of Laplace eigenvalue problem: by combining conforming and nonconforming finite element methods, Sci. China Math. 55 (5) (2012) 1069–1082. doi:10.1007/s11425-012-4382-2.